Does \textit{CDKN2A} loss predict palbociclib benefit?
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Palbociclib, an oral small-molecule inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6, was recently approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration in combination with letrozole for postmenopausal women with advanced hormone receptor–positive, \textit{her2}-negative breast cancer. Patients with loss of \textit{CDKN2A} (\textit{p16}), an inherent negative regulator of cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6, were not separately studied because of the significant response of the patients selected based only on receptor status. Here, we report a patient with metastatic estrogen receptor–positive, \textit{her2}-negative breast cancer with \textit{CDKN2A} loss who experienced a clinical response to palbociclib.
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\textbf{INTRODUCTION}

The \textit{paloma}-1 trial of palbociclib, an oral small-molecule inhibitor of cyclin-dependent kinases 4 and 6 (\textit{cdk}4/6), in combination with letrozole in postmenopausal women with advanced estrogen receptor (\textit{er})–positive, \textit{her2}-negative breast cancer recently reported a significant progression-free survival (\textit{pfs}) benefit in the palbociclib group\textsuperscript{1}. The original design featured two cohorts: cohort 1 is based on receptor status, and cohort 2 is based on cyclin D1 (\textit{CCND1}) amplification or \textit{p16} (\textit{INK4a} or \textit{CDKN2A}) loss. However, based on the significant response in cohort 1, the authors felt that further selection based on markers would be unlikely to improve outcomes, and so the two cohorts were combined. Here, we report a patient with metastatic estrogen receptor–positive, \textit{her2}-negative breast cancer with \textit{CDKN2A} loss who experienced a clinical response on palbociclib.

\textbf{CASE DESCRIPTION}

A 66-year-old woman with previously normal mammograms presented in March 2004 with a hard and firm left breast. Biopsy showed stage iv T3N3cM1 infiltrating ductal carcinoma, \textit{er}-positive (50%), progesterone receptor–negative, and \textit{her2}-negative, with a palpable solitary metastasis to a left cervical lymph node.

The patient was treated in March 2004 on a phase ii clinical trial with ixabepilone, progressing in February 2005 after 13 cycles. She underwent bilateral mastectomy and radiation to the left chest (5040 cGy), with a 900 cGy boost to the scar, 540 cGy boost to the axilla, 450 cGy boost to the supraclavicular region, and 720 cGy boost to the cervical nodes. She was treated with anastrozole from April 2005 to 2006, with imaging showing incidental liver lesions (felt to be unrelated to the breast cancer) and granulomatous disease of the lungs.

Because of patient preference, she was switched to fulvestrant and remained on that treatment until imaging in January 2014 showed progression of disease in the lung, shoulder, para-mediastinal, and supraclavicular regions. She also developed left eye ptosis, ultimately diagnosed as Horner syndrome, and worsening left arm brachial plexopathy, loss of arm function, and severe lymphedema despite treatment with hyperbaric oxygen, pentoxifylline, vitamin E, and a compression sleeve.

The patient’s course was complicated by a 1 cm hyperpigmented lesion on her right chest wall that was concerning for melanoma. In February 2014, she underwent wide local excision, with pathology showing melanoma \textit{in situ} with partial regression. Because of positive margins, she underwent a repeat excision, which did not show melanoma. With her worsening left arm swelling and pain, she ultimately underwent a forequarter amputation of the left upper extremity on 7 May 2014. Pathology showed metastatic adenocarcinoma consistent with the breast primary: \textit{er}-positive, progesterone receptor–negative, and \textit{her2}-negative. FoundationOne genomic testing (FoundationOne...
of her resected tumour showed CDKN2A loss and normal RB1.

The patient was re-treated with anastrozole for 7 weeks before enrolling on a trial of capecitabine with or without ruxolitinib on 10 September 2014. However, after 8 weeks, she progressed, with extensive skin metastases. On 13 November 2014, she enrolled on a Pfizer-sponsored palbociclib expanded-access program and started palbociclib 125 mg daily for 21 days of a 28-day cycle and letrozole 2.5 mg daily. She completed cycle 1 on 3 December 2014 and, complicated by grade 3 febrile neutropenia, was delayed in starting cycle 2, during which her palbociclib dose was reduced to 100 mg. She started cycle 2 on 15 December 2014, and on day 15, her first clinical response was documented (Figure 1). She completed 4 cycles of palbociclib before developing worsening shortness of breath. Imaging on 11 March 2015 showed progression of the metastatic disease in the lung. She is currently on weekly paclitaxel.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

The Paloma-1 trial showed a significant PFS benefit of 10 months for treatment with palbociclib and letrozole compared with letrozole alone in breast cancer patients with hormone receptor (HR)–positive, HER2-negative disease.

Recently, the results of Paloma-3, a phase III randomized placebo-controlled trial comparing palbociclib–fulvestrant with placebo–fulvestrant, were presented at the 2015 annual meeting of the American Society of Clinical Oncology and in the *New England Journal of Medicine*.

The Paloma-3 trial looked at 521 patients with advanced HR-positive, HER2-negative disease who had progressed on prior endocrine therapy. Both pre- and postmenopausal patients were included, with pre- and perimenopausal patients also receiving goserelin. The primary endpoint was PFS. At its preplanned interim analysis, the study had met its primary endpoint: median PFS was 9.2 months for...
Palbociclib—fulvestrant and 3.8 months for placebo—fulvestrant ($p<0.001$). Subgroup analyses showed that pre-, peri-, and postmenopausal patients all benefitted from the addition of palbociclib. As was also seen in PALOMA-1, more adverse events—primarily myelosuppression—occurred in the palbociclib arm. The mechanism of action behind adverse events—primarily myelosuppression—occurred as was also seen in palbociclib. As was also seen in paloma peri-, and postmenopausal patients all benefitted from the addition of palbociclib. As was also seen in paloma peri-, and postmenopausal patients all benefitted from the addition of palbociclib. As was also seen in paloma peri-, and postmenopausal patients all benefitted from the addition of palbociclib.

In addition of palbociclib, abemaciclib, and ribociclib are oral drugs that inhibit cdk4/6, but cells also possess an inherent negative regulator of the cdk4/6—cyclin D1 interaction, namely CDKN2A (p16). Studies have shown higher p16 expression in invasive ductal cancer than in ductal carcinoma in situ, and that expression is associated with triple-negative and high-grade breast cancers. Interestingly, however, p16 expression has also been correlated with good response to chemotherapy, improved disease-free survival, improved breast cancer—specific survival, and a trend toward improved overall survival. Furthermore, preclinical studies show that palbociclib inhibits the cell cycle and growth in cells with decreased p16. Based on those preclinical data and our case, further studies of patients with CDKN2A loss would, perhaps, be of interest and benefit for that population.
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