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ABSTRACT

Canada is facing cancer crisis. Cancer has become the leading cause of death in Canada. Despite recent advances in cancer management and research, growing disparities in cancer care have been noticed, especially in socio-economically disadvantaged groups and under-served communities. With the rising incidence of cancer and the increasing numbers of minorities and of social disparities in general, and without appropriate interventions, cancer care disparities will become only more pronounced. This paper highlights the concepts and definitions of equity in health and health care and examines several health determinants that increase the risk of cancer. It also reviews cancer care inequity in the high-risk groups. A conceptual framework is proposed and recommendations are made for the eradication of disparities within the health care system and beyond.

KEY WORDS

Cancer care, disparity, inequity, Canadian health care

1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer is one of the major causes of death throughout the world, second only to the cardiovascular diseases. Every year about 10 million new cancer patients are diagnosed. More than 16 million new cancer cases are expected by 2020, and it is estimated that cancer will then be the cause of more than 10 million deaths annually. Canada is facing a cancer crisis. According to the Canadian Cancer Society, 40% of men and 45% of women are expected to develop cancer during their lifetime, and 24% and 29% respectively, to die from their disease.

Many years of advancement in cancer research have led to the development of sophisticated screening and treatment methods that have contributed to significant improvements in the outcomes of people living with cancer. However, growing disparities in cancer care have been noticed, especially in socio-economically disadvantaged groups and under-served communities. Disparities in cancer care result in presentations that are more advanced and outcomes that are substantially inferior in some groups. Such disparity is not unexpected in developing countries, given the complexity and cost of modern cancer treatment. However, inequities in cancer care and differential cancer outcomes in socially disadvantaged groups in the United States and Canada warrant further investigation, because both countries have well-developed health care systems. In contrast to the United States, where inadequate health insurance coverage accounts for substantial disparity in cancer care, Canada offers universal health care to its citizens. A gap in cancer outcomes is nevertheless perceivable between various ethnic and social groups.

Health disparities not only result in avoidable death, disease, disability, anguish, and discomfort, but are also harmful for the health system and for Canadian society as a whole. Health disparities are not in harmony with Canadian values; they threaten the cohesiveness of society, weaken the sustainability of the health system, and damage the economy.

The present paper provides a Canadian perspective of disparities in cancer care. It begins with the concepts and definitions of equity in health and health care, and it then examines several health determinants that are associated with increased risk of cancer and differential outcomes in disadvantaged groups. A conceptual framework is proposed, and recommendations are made to eliminate disparities both within the health care system and beyond its confines.

2. DEFINITION AND CONCEPT OF HEALTH INEQUITY

2.1 What Is Health and Equity?

The World Health Organization defines “health” as a state of complete physical, mental, and social
well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. “Equity” means that people’s needs, rather than their social privileges, guide the distribution of opportunities for well-being.

2.2 Equity in Health and Health Care

Equity in health implies that “ideally everyone should have a fair opportunity to attain their full health potential and, more pragmatically, that no one should be disadvantaged from achieving this potential, if it can be avoided”. Hence, equity in health means reducing avoidable gaps in health status and health services between groups with different levels of social privilege.

Equity in health care is defined as equal access to available care for equal need, equal utilization for equal need, and equal quality of care for all. The International Society for Equity in Health defines equity in health as “the absence of systematic and potentially remediable differences in one or more aspects of health across populations or population subgroups defined socially, economically, demographically, or geographically”.

Health inequity is a difference that finds poor people, racial or ethnic minorities, or other socially disadvantaged groups systematically experiencing worse health than more advantaged social groups.

3. UNDERSTANDING THE NATURE AND CAUSE OF DISPARITIES

There are at least 4 different ways that societies can create health inequities:

- Social stratification
- Exposure to risks
- Vulnerabilities
- Consequences (that is, differential access to health services or other social programs)

Inequity in cancer care takes place at various levels: primary and secondary prevention, diagnosis, treatment, and cancer research. Ensuring equitable access to cancer care is vital because of the time sensitivity of care and evolving complex treatment. Early diagnosis and prompt management are paramount in cancer care—hence, the more dramatic implications of poor access in that context. In the subsections that follow, we highlight various cancer risk factors and examine high-risk groups in the context of understanding the nature of health disparities.

4. CANCER RISK FACTORS

There is ample evidence that most malignant disorders are environmentally driven and preventable. Implementation of policies and programs for equal opportunity will require reliable and comparable analyses of the effect of cancer risk factors on various groups. More importantly, primary prevention through lifestyle modification and environmental interventions addressing various health determinants may offer the most cost-effective approach to reducing the cancer burden. Given that the many risk factors discussed in the subsections that follow are common to other diseases, it is conceivable that controlling such risk factors will have a positive impact on population health.

4.1 Smoking

Smoking is the leading cause of preventable death in the world, killing 5 million people every year. It is causally related to various malignancies, including lung, head-and-neck, upper gastrointestinal tract, and genitourinary cancers. Although smoking prevalence in Canada has continually declined, 17% of the Canadian population 15 years of age and older are current smokers.

Tobacco use is strongly associated with low socio-economic status (SES). The prevalence of current smoking is greatest among adults with working-class jobs, low education levels, and low income or unemployment.

4.2 Alcohol

There is ample evidence of a correlation between chronic alcohol consumption and the development of cancer, including the upper aerodigestive tract and liver cancers. In addition, alcohol increases the risk for colorectal and breast cancer. There is evidence that certain subgroups of the population, including socio-economically disadvantaged individuals, experience greater levels of alcohol-related death.

4.3 Nutrition and Physical Activity

There is growing evidence that high body mass index and obesity and low physical activity, coupled with high intake of fat, meat, and dairy products, play an important role in the development of several malignancies, such as colorectal cancer, breast cancer, uterine cancer, and prostate cancer. The higher risk of cancer in disadvantaged groups can be linked to food insecurity and meager access to elements of a healthy diet such as fresh fruits and vegetables and to facilities for physical fitness.

4.4 Sexual Behavior and Reproductive Health

Cervical cancer remains the third most common cancer among Canadian women 20–49 years of age. It is estimated that 10%–30% of the Canadian adult population is infected with the human papilloma
5. HIGH-RISK POPULATIONS

It is known that cancer care disparities are not random, but rather differentially distributed among high-risk groups. Inequities in access to cancer services along the cancer care continuum, from screening to end-of-life care, are linked to a variety of socio-economic, geographic, and demographic factors. The research on inequity in cancer care in Canada is sparse, and the quantity of research on access to care varies by point of service, equity determinates, and disease site. Barriers to access can be sorted into 4 categories: availability of services, financial barriers, nonfinancial barriers to presentation of health care needs, and barriers to equitable treatment. In the subsections that follow, we review the evidence of disparities in various high-risk groups.

5.1 Socio-economic Status

Socio-economic status is typically measured based on educational achievement, occupational characteristics, income, accumulated wealth, living conditions, health insurance, or residence in geographic areas with particular social or economic conditions. Socio-economic factors account for an estimated 50% of a person’s health status; health care service accounts for 25%. The Canadian Council on Social Development reported that an estimated 4,886,000 people were living in poverty in 1999.

There is abundant evidence that socio-economic status (SES) is strongly related to access to health care, quality of preventive care, ambulatory care, and high-technology procedures. A striking and consistent association between SES and disease outcome has been noted. Apart from logistical barriers to access, people of lower SES are more likely to remain uninformed about early detection programs and disease management. In general, a lower SES is related to a lower health status, more health problems, and a shorter life expectancy.

5.2 Rural Residence

In 2006, approximately 6 million Canadians were living in small towns and rural areas. According to a Canadian Institute of Health Information report, the average life expectancy of rural Canadians lags behind that of their urban counterparts. Rural cancer patients have additional burdens unique to their residence, such as interference with family life, work, and financial security, which ultimately may influence quality of life differently than similar burdens do for residents in urban areas. Access to treatment or decisions about treatment can also be affected by rural residence.

It is also important to recognize that several characteristics of rural communities may be of benefit to individuals coping with cancer, such as close relationships with family, community members, and religious institutions.

5.3 Ethnic Minorities and Immigrants

For many diseases, racial and ethnic minority populations are less likely to receive health care equal to that received by non-minority individuals. Factors that potentially contribute to the inferior cancer outcomes in ethnic minorities are poverty, lack of access to care, personal attitudes and beliefs, cultural issues, intercurrent medical problems, education, linguistic and literacy barriers, and poor expectations of cancer treatment outcome.

Between 1996 and 2006, the Aboriginal population increased by 45% compared with 8% for the non-Aboriginal population. In general, Aboriginal peoples experience more health-related problems than do non-Aboriginal people. Several Aboriginal health-related problems are linked to living conditions on reserves and the lower average income of Aboriginal people compared with that of other Canadians. Being no exception, cancer incidences—specifically, the incidences for preventable cancers such as lung cancer—are on the rise in Aboriginal populations, and survival after a diagnosis of cancer is poorer in those populations than in the general population. For instance, in Ontario, although the rate of new cases of all types of cancer is generally lower in Aboriginal populations, incidence rates are similar or higher for lung, colorectal, kidney, and cervical cancers.

Immigrants represent about 20% of the total population. The general health of new immigrants is better on arrival than that of the average Canadian, but it tends to decline with time. Immigrants underutilize screening and prevention services. Different patterns of cancer incidence, mortality, and outcome have been noted among ethnic populations compared with the general population.
5.4 Gender

It has been suggested that health is detrimentally affected by gender roles and statuses as they intersect with economic disparities, cultural, sexual, physical, and historical marginalization, and the strains of domestic and paid labor\textsuperscript{45}. Although differential cancer outcomes have been reported in relation to gender\textsuperscript{46,47}, Canadian research explicitly focusing on gender inequalities in cancer care is scarce.

6. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ACTION

Eliminating cancer care disparities will require commitment to explore the extent of those disparities, to develop evidence-based policies that address root causes and major determinants of disparity, and to commit to ongoing assessments of interventions. Disparities in all aspects of health care should be investigated, including allocation of resources for health care, actual receipt of services, and quality of the services. We propose a conceptual framework to promote equity in cancer care, thereby improving population health (Figure 1). Its major components include research and application of that research to the development of strategies and policies, cultural competency, community partnership, and patient-centered care (Table 1).

6.1 Action Strategies

Action strategies are required to address the health gradient across disadvantaged groups because of factors such as poverty, rural or remote location, and language and cultural barriers, thereby eliminating systemic barriers to quality cancer care and control. The current system of care is inadequate in addressing primary prevention and screening services and, thus, cancer control. Integrated concepts and innovative strategies related to population health determinants are important not only for a healthy population, but also to reduce the rising costs of health care.

6.2 Research

Enhanced support for research on cancer disparities and ongoing surveillance to assess the magnitude and pattern of health gaps over time in relation to policies that influence population health are critical. Information about important determinants of health such as demographic, cultural, and socio-economic contextual variables within cancer databases is vital to quality research and to the effectiveness of monitoring in a cancer control program. Furthermore, increased participation of racially and ethnically diverse populations in clinical trials is essential for reducing cancer care disparity.

6.3 Community, Organizations, and Health Sector Partnerships

Appropriate consultation with cultural and patient communities is crucial in cancer control and decision-making processes. A growing body of evidence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Potential interventions to reduce disparities in cancer care</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intervention</td>
<td>Recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action strategies</td>
<td>Address the health gradient in high-risk groups with respect to poverty, rural or remote location, language, and cultural barriers. Promote primary and secondary cancer prevention by addressing key population health determinants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Integrate important determinants of health such as demographic, cultural, and socio-economic contextual variables into the cancer databases. Conduct focused research on cancer disparities, with ongoing surveillance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnership</td>
<td>Partner with public, private, and volunteer programs and organizations and engage the active participation of diverse communities. Incorporate a diverse oncology workforce in cancer care to meet the needs of racially and ethnically diverse patients.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person-centered care</td>
<td>Integrate person-centred, time-efficient, and quality care with navigational support that responds to the physical, social, emotional, informational, psychological, spiritual, and practical needs of patients.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
consistently points to the importance of social connectedness, a nurturing community, and social networks in quality of life and cancer outcomes. Partnerships with public, private, and volunteer organizations and participation of community members are keys to success. Organizations such as the Canadian Partnership Against Cancer (http://www.partnershipagainstcancer.ca) are currently working with other groups across Canada to generate new knowledge and to accelerate the implementation of existing knowledge about cancer control. Networking and coordination with other programs and agencies for chronic disease or healthy living within the health regions and across the provinces are fundamental for effective action, organization of shared services, and avoidance of duplication.

6.4 Cultural Competency

Cultural competency is a fundamental element of an equitable system of care that respects the health beliefs, values, and behaviors of individuals and that enables the system to work effectively in cross-cultural situations. Incorporation of cultural competency as a core value into every public health institution at the individual and systemic levels is vital. Removal of language barriers and increased training of the oncology workforce to meet the needs of racially and ethnically diverse cancer patients are keys to reducing disparities.

6.5 Access to Quality Person-Centred Care

Because the cancer experience can be very distressing, integrated person-centered quality care that responds to the physical, social, emotional, informational, psychological, spiritual, and practical needs of patients is vital. Enhanced patient involvement in care and navigational support are essential to ensuring coordination and continuity of care. Universal access to cancer treatment across Canada is imperative for equity. Hence, the Pan-Canadian Oncology Drug Review Program (http://www.pcdor.ca) is an important step toward promoting equal access to cancer treatment for all Canadians.

7. SUMMARY

Cancer treatment is complex, often involving various services, multiple health care professionals, and multiple settings. Such complexity makes provision of equitable care a challenge and necessitates a clear understanding of the root causes of disparities in cancer care. Broad community involvement, better understanding of and respect for the psychosocial and cultural beliefs of ethnic minorities, improvements in life circumstances, social support, increased community health education, outreach initiatives, encouragement of trust in the health system, and partnership against cancer are all keys (Table ii) to eliminating inequity in cancer care.
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