Adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified on dorsum of tongue: case report and literature review
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ABSTRACT

Primary adenocarcinoma of the oropharynx most often arises from the minor salivary glands, and primary squamous cell carcinoma is more commonly seen arising from the tongue. Few cases of adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified of the tongue have been reported in the literature, and none found on the dorsum of the tongue. Successful treatment strategies have therefore not been defined.

We report a case of adenocarcinoma located on the dorsum of the posterior one third of the tongue adjacent to the circumvallate papillae in a woman presenting with globus sensation and mild dysphagia. Treatment consisted of transoral laser excision and postoperative external-beam radiotherapy, resulting in disease-free survival at her 5-year follow-up. The goals of this report are to present a case of adenocarcinoma arising from the minor salivary gland located on the dorsum of the tongue, to discuss previous reports of similar cases, and to suggest that surgery with or without radiotherapy be used as the mainstay of treatment.
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1. CASE DESCRIPTION

In December 2005, a 66-year-old woman presented to the otolaryngology clinic at our institution with mild dysphagia and a globus sensation, with no constitutional symptoms, odynophagia, or referred otalgia. The patient was a lifetime nonsmoker with nonsignificant consumption of alcohol. A family history of ovarian cancer (sister), breast cancer (sister), and skin cancer (brother) was reported, but no history of head-and-neck cancer.

On physical exam, a firm 2×1-cm pedunculated exophytic mass on the dorsum of the tongue was observed, with no ulceration or evidence of local invasion. No cervical lymphadenopathy was noted. Imaging by computed tomography with intravenous contrast was performed to evaluate the primary lesion and the regional lymphatics. This imaging demonstrated a 1.6×1.3×1.5-cm superficial nodular lesion on the dorsum of the posterior aspect of the tongue [Figure 1(A)], with no major compromise of the airway. No involvement of the deep structures of the tongue [Figure 1(B)], nor any involvement of regional lymph nodes, epiglottis, or vallecula was noted. Laser excision of the mass was performed under general anesthetic with tracheostomy in January 2006.

Pathology examination of the tumour revealed a 1.7×1.5×1.4-cm exophytic lobular submucosal nodular lesion with a pushing border and “basaloid-like” architecture [Figure 2(A)]. The neoplastic cells were present in cribriform nests and sheets with focal tubule formation [Figure 2(B)]. The neoplastic cells were cuboidal in shape, with large nuclei having small nucleoli and a clear chromatin pattern [Figure 2(C)]. Squamous differentiation and features of an adenoid cystic carcinoma were not identified. The malignant cells were positive for cytokeratin 7 and S-100. Staining was negative for cytokeratins (34bE12, 8/18, and 20), smooth muscle actin, and smooth muscle myosin. The mass was staged as T1N0 adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified (nOS), located on the tongue base and of minor salivary gland origin. The initial resected area showed negative margins (7 mm anterior, 5 mm from the left, 8 mm posterior, 1 mm from the right, and 3 mm deep). Additional resection was performed at the left and right margins, which were negative for malignancy.

At 1 month post excision, no evidence of local recurrence or lymphadenopathy was obvious, but a firm area (induration) on the posterior tongue base lacking exophytic or ulcerative lesions was noted. Magnetic resonance imaging of the head and neck confirmed this area of asymmetry and irregularity involving the dorsum of the tongue in the midline and slightly to the right. Endoscopy and biopsy of the...
surgical bed was performed to rule out malignancy. Pathology examination revealed lingual tissue with fibro-inflammatory changes, negative for malignancy.

Postoperative radiotherapy was initiated because postoperative assessment revealed induration of the surgical bed and the abnormality already documented on magnetic resonance imaging, which led to a high index of suspicion for residual disease at the primary site. Moreover, the primary tumour was located at the center of the base of tongue, which contains profuse lymphatics. Postoperative radiotherapy was delivered to the oropharynx, with a boost to the surgical bed; comprehensive prophylactic radiotherapy to the regional lymphatics was also offered.

**FIGURE 1** Computed tomography imaging performed at the time of diagnosis. Red arrows indicate the location of the lesion. (A) Sagittal view. Superficial nodular lesion visible at base of tongue extending to the adjacent uvula. D = measured diameter of lesion (1.55 cm). (B) Axial view. Subtly enhancing lesion at right base of tongue, extending to the anterior aspect of the uvula, with no apparent invasion into the deep structures of the tongue. No significant lymphadenopathy noted.

**FIGURE 2** Histology sections of adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified of minor salivary gland origin. (A) Low-power photomicrograph of the lesion, demonstrating its well-circumscribed nature in the submucosa. (B) Medium-power photomicrograph demonstrating arrangement of the neoplastic cells in cribriform nests, sheets, and focal tubules. (C) High-power photomicrograph of the neoplasm, demonstrating its cytologic features.
The patient was treated to a total dose of 60 Gy in 30 fractions, using a standard single isocentric 3-field technique. The isocentre was placed 3 cm deep at the junction of the lateral parallel-opposed fields and the single anterior lower neck field. The lower neck field received 50 Gy in 25 fractions, and the surgical bed received a boost of 10 Gy in 5 fractions. A spinal cord block was placed after 40 Gy to limit the cord dose to less than 45 Gy. Afterwards, the posterior neck lymphatics were treated with a 6 MeV electron boost of 10 Gy in 5 fractions.

At 6 years, the patient has remained asymptomatic with no clinical or radiologic evidence of locoregional recurrence or metastasis.

2. DISCUSSION

2.1 Oropharyngeal Cancer—Tongue and Minor Salivary Gland Tumours

For men and women respectively, head-and-neck cancers represent 2.5% and 1.5% of all newly diagnosed cancers in Canada. Cancer of the tongue is the second most common type of cancer arising in the upper digestive tract, with approximately 40% arising from the tongue base. In addition, lesions located in the base of tongue are more likely to be malignant (85%) than those located in the oral tongue (20%). Most tongue cancers (more than 95%) are histologically characterized as squamous cell carcinoma; adenocarcinoma of the tongue, often arising from minor salivary glands, occurs in fewer than 2% of all tongue malignancies.

Minor salivary gland malignancies are themselves rare, representing only 5%–10% of all malignancies in the head-and-neck region. Many histologic subtypes of minor salivary gland tumours in the oropharynx have been documented, mucopidermoid and adenoid cystic carcinoma being the most common, followed by the more rare subtypes such as adenocarcinoma and acinic cell carcinoma. Adenocarcinoma has been estimated to make up 20% of all lingual minor salivary gland tumours.

A number of risk factors for the development of upper aerodigestive tract cancers have been identified, including positive smoking history, alcohol abuse, body mass index greater than 30 kg/m² or less than 18.4 kg/m², and lack of oral health practices. Several risk factors have also been identified with respect to the development of oropharyngeal cancer, including smoking and alcohol consumption and a diet deficient in fruits and vegetables. However, infection with the human papilloma virus has recently emerged as a major contributor to the development of squamous cell oropharyngeal cancer, including base-of-tongue cancers, particularly in younger populations. The same association has not been made with adenocarcinoma of the oropharynx.

Presentation of oropharyngeal adenocarcinoma varies and includes symptoms such as swelling, mass sensation, pain, dysphagia, or odynophagia; however, it is often completely asymptomatic, resulting in a delay in diagnosis. The prognosis for adenocarcinoma is more favourable than that for squamous cell carcinoma, and reported 3-, 5-, and 10-year survival rates are approximately 50%. However, survival varies greatly depending on tumour stage, grade, and treatment modalities used.

2.2 Literature Review and Recommended Treatment Paradigm

We are the first to report a case of adenocarcinoma NOS arising from the minor salivary glands located on the dorsum of the tongue, near the foramen cecum, in which none of the risk factors mentioned earlier was identified.

Approximately 20 cases of adenocarcinoma NOS located in the oropharynx have been reported to date (Table 1). The specific description of anatomical location of those lesions is lacking. They are often classified as either “base of tongue,” “palate,” or “tonsil.” The lesions classified as “base of tongue” are most often located near the epiglottis and posterior pharynx. Pertinent details of the location, size, and histopathologic features of the tumour, as well as relevant facts about the patient, staging, and treatment given, are missing in many published case reports, precluding a comprehensive review or comparison of the cases. Combined with the low incidence of adenocarcinoma of the tongue, this paucity of comparable data has thus far prevented formulation of a standard treatment paradigm for this entity.

The mainstay of treatment of oropharyngeal adenocarcinoma of minor salivary gland origin includes surgical excision with or without adjuvant radiotherapy. Complex reconstruction is often required after radical excision because of the location of the lesions. The use of postoperative radiotherapy for the treatment of these neoplasms varies across centres and depends on surgical margins, extent of nodal involvement, presence or absence of extranodal extension, locoregional invasion, and tumour grade. In a number of studies, albeit with very low patient numbers, patients treated with combined surgery and radiotherapy had a lower incidence of local recurrence and also better long-term survival than did those treated with surgery alone.

In addition, the use of chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and cisplatin) has been reported in a limited number of cases of advanced disease. One study reported an average response rate of 64%, with 28% achieving complete remission. Another report suggests the use of UFT [a mixture of 1-(2-tetrahydrofuryl)-5-fluouracil and uracil in a molar ratio of 1:4], the authors having achieved a...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Cases (n)</th>
<th>Tumour classification</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Stage/grade</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burbank et al., 1959⁵</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Base of tongue, extending to the vallecula</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T2N0</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elliott and Pearl, 1981²⁰</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Base of tongue</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T3N2b</td>
<td>Radiation and surgery</td>
<td>NED at 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T4N0</td>
<td>Radiation and surgery</td>
<td>NED at 3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T4N2b</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Postoperative death; distant metastases lung Died of distant metastases at 33 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goepfert et al., 1983¹⁸</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Base of tongue</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T3N2b</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>Died of distant metastases at 33 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballard et al., 1986²¹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Posterior left side, extending to mandible, retromolar trigone and floor of mouth to vallecula</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>T3N0</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>NED at 2 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de Vries et al., 1987¹⁹</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Base of tongue</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T4N3</td>
<td>Radiation, unresectable tumour</td>
<td>Died of disease at 8 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldblatt and Ellis, 1987³</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>NED at 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>NED at 14 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>NED at 15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Radiation and surgery</td>
<td>NED at 19 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Radiation</td>
<td>NED at 15 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Not specified</td>
<td>Died of disease at 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iyer et al., 2010⁴</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Base of tongue, near circumvallate papillae</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T1N0</td>
<td>Radiation and surgery</td>
<td>10-Year survival: 63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present report</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Base of tongue</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>T1N0</td>
<td>Radiation and surgery</td>
<td>NED at 5 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NED = no evidence of disease; NOS = not otherwise specified; NR = not reported.
50% response rate in tumours of the oropharynx and a 75% response rate in tumours classified as adenocarcinoma nos, mucoepidermoid carcinoma, and adenoid cystic carcinoma, however, the latter neoplasms were not exclusively of minor salivary gland origin.

Although discussions of tumour grade have proved useful for certain histologic subtypes, including adenocarcinoma nos, mucoepidermoid carcinoma, and adenoid cystic carcinoma, it has been suggested that stage and not grade of salivary gland tumours be considered the major factor, with a 4-cm “rule” suggested as a guideline for directing treatment decisions. The rule suggests that tumours less than 4 cm in size have a better prognosis independent of the histologic subtype or grade of the tumour, with less locoregional or distant metastasis. Given that one of the main indications for postoperative radiotherapy is locoregional invasion, the authors propose that tumours larger than 4 cm in size be considered an absolute indication for postoperative radiotherapy, independent of other factors.

Overall, based on our experience and the limited data available in the literature to date, we suggest that the standard of care remain surgical excision and adjuvant therapy based on presence or absence of the adverse factors discussed earlier that increase the probability of locoregional recurrence.

3. CONCLUSIONS

In this report, we document the successful treatment of adenocarcinoma nos located on the dorsal aspect of the posterior one third of the tongue near the circumvallate papillae. Trans-oral excision was followed by external-beam radiotherapy. Based on clinical and radiologic surveillance, the patient remains disease-free with minimal toxicity after 6 years of follow-up.
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